

BRIXTON METALS CORPORATION

Management Discussion and Analysis

For the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

**Containing information up to and including
December 10, 2013**

Notice

Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") is intended to help the reader understand Brixton Metal Corporation's (the "Company" or "Corporation") financial statements. The information provided herein should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. The following comments may contain management estimates of anticipated future trends, activities or results. These are not a guarantee of future performance, since actual results could change based on other factors and variables beyond management control.

Management is responsible for the preparation and integrity of the financial statements, including the maintenance of appropriate information systems, procedures and internal controls and to ensure that information used internally or disclosed externally, including the financial statements and MD&A, is complete and reliable. The Company's board of directors follows recommended corporate governance guidelines for public companies to ensure transparency and accountability to shareholders. The board's audit committee meets with management quarterly to review the financial statements including the MD&A and to discuss other financial, operating and internal control matters.

The reader is encouraged to review Company statutory filings on www.sedar.com and to review general information.

All currency amounts are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted.

Description of Business

The Company is an exploration stage company and engages principally in the exploration and development of mineral properties in Canada. Brixton became a public entity through a transaction whereby Marksmen Capital Inc.(a capital pool company trading on the TSX-V) acquired all of the issued and outstanding common shares of Brixton in exchange for the issuance of 1.8 common shares of Marksmen for each common share of Brixton. This transaction was completed on December 7, 2010 and constituted a reverse takeover transaction pursuant to the terms of the TSX-Venture Exchange.

Caution Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements and forward-looking information (collectively, "forward-looking statements") within the meaning of applicable Canadian and US securities legislation. These statements relate to future events or the future activities or performance of the Company. All statements, other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as: believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, postulate and similar expressions, or which by their nature refer to future events. These forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning:

- the Company's strategies and objectives, both generally and in respect of its specific mineral properties;

Brixton Metals Corporation

Management Discussion and Analysis

For the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and containing information up to December 10, 2013

- the timing of decisions regarding the strategy and costs of exploration programs with respect to, and the issuance of the necessary permits and authorizations required for, the Company's exploration programs;
- the timing and cost of planned exploration programs of the Company, and the timing of the receipt of results there from;
- the Company's future cash requirements;
- general business and economic conditions;
- the Company's ability to meet its financial obligations as they come due, and to be able to raise the necessary funds to continue operations;
- the timing and pricing of proposed financings if applicable;
- the anticipated completion of financings;
- the anticipated receipt of regulatory approval/acceptance of financings;
- the anticipated use of the proceeds from the financings;
- the potential to verify and potentially expand upon the historical resources;
- the potential for the expansion of the known mineralized zones; and
- the potential for the amenability of mineralization to respond to proven technologies and methods for recovery of ore.

Although the Company believes that such statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Inherent in forward looking statements are risks and uncertainties beyond the Company's ability to predict or control, including, but not limited to, risks related to the Company's inability to negotiate successfully for the acquisition of interests in mineral properties, the determination of applicable governmental agencies not to issue the exploration concessions applied for by the Company or excessive delay by the applicable governmental agencies in connection with any such issuances, the Company's inability to identify one or more economic deposits on its properties, variations in the nature, quality and quantity of any mineral deposits that may be located, the Company's inability to obtain any necessary permits, consents or authorizations required for its activities, to produce minerals from its properties successfully or profitably, to continue its projected growth, to raise the necessary capital or to be fully able to implement its business strategies, and other risks identified herein under "Risk Factors".

The Company cautions investors that any forward-looking statements by the Company are not guarantees of future performance, and that actual results are likely to differ, and may differ materially, from those expressed or implied by forward looking statements contained in this MD&A. Such statements are based on a number of assumptions which may prove incorrect, including, but not limited to, assumptions about:

- the level and volatility of the prices for precious metals;
- general business and economic conditions;

- the timing of the receipt of regulatory and governmental approvals, permits and authorizations necessary to implement and carry on the Company's planned exploration programs;
- conditions in the financial markets generally, and with respect to the prospects for junior exploration companies specifically;
- the Company's ability to secure the necessary consulting, drilling and related services and supplies on favorable terms;
- the Company's ability to attract and retain key staff, and to retain consultants to provide the specialized information and skills involved in understanding the precious metal exploration, mining, processing and marketing businesses;
- the nature and location of the Company's mineral exploration projects, and the timing of the ability to commence and complete the planned exploration programs;
- the anticipated terms of the consents, permits and authorizations necessary to carry out the planned exploration programs and the Company's ability to comply with such terms on a cost-effective basis;
- the ongoing relations of the Company with government agencies and regulators and its underlying property vendors/options; and
- metallurgy and recovery characteristics of the Company's mineral properties are reflective of the deposit as a whole.

These forward looking statements are made as of the date hereof and the Company does not intend and does not assume any obligation to update these forward looking statements, except as required by applicable law. For the reasons set forth above, investors should not attribute undue certainty to or place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Historical results of operations and trends that may be inferred from the following discussion and analysis may not necessarily indicate future results from operations. In particular, the current state of the global securities markets may cause significant reductions in the price of the Company's securities and render it difficult or impossible for the Company to raise the funds necessary to continue operations. See "Risk Factors – Insufficient Financial Resources/Share Price Volatility".

Caution Regarding Adjacent or Similar Mineral Properties

This MD&A contains information with respect to adjacent or similar mineral properties in respect of which the Company has no interest or rights to explore or mine. The Company advises US investors that the mining guidelines of the US Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") set forth in the SEC's Industry Guide 7 ("SEC Industry Guide 7") strictly prohibit information of this type in documents filed with the SEC. Readers are cautioned that the Company has no interest in or right to acquire any interest in any such properties, and that mineral deposits on adjacent or similar properties, and any production therefore or economics with respect thereto, are not indicative of mineral deposits on the Company's properties or the potential production from, or cost or economics of, any future mining of any of the Company's exploration and evaluation assets.

All of the Company's public disclosure filings, including its most recent management information circular, material change reports, press releases and other information, may be accessed via www.sedar.com and readers are urged to review these materials, including the technical reports filed with respect to the Company's exploration and evaluation assets.

Selected annual information

	Year ended September 30, 2013	Year ended September 30, 2012	Year ended September 30, 2011
Loss for year	\$ (3,393,662)	\$ (2,648,800)	\$ (4,637,003)
Write-off of mineral properties	\$Nil	\$ (340,286)	\$Nil
Loss per Share (Basic and Diluted)	\$0.04	\$0.05	\$0.19
Total Assets	\$4,560,919	\$2,328,637	\$2,102,485
Total Long-term Liabilities	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil
Number of shares outstanding	97,918,594	63,009,094	44,685,015

Highlights for the year ended September 30, 2013 and up to December 10, 2013

- On November 5, 2012, the Company announced the appointment of Mr. Sorin Posescu as Vice-President of geology. Mr. Posescu was previously a consulting geologist with the Company since 2010. The Company granted 200,000 stock options to Mr. Posescu on December 4, 2012 with an exercise price of \$0.18 and expiring December 4, 2022.
- On December 21, 2012 the Company closed a non-brokered private placement financing. Pursuant to the private placement, the Company issued 6,609,500 flow-through shares at a price of 20 cents per flow-through share for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$1,321,900.
- On February 1, 2013, the Company appointed and promoted Carrie Sikman to Vice-President, investor relations.
- On February 26, 2013 the Company acquired a 100-per-cent interest in the Thorn property from Rimfire, a subsidiary of Kiska, for consideration of \$1.5-million in cash and 7 million shares in its capital stock.
- On February 26, 2013, the Company entered into a strategic partnership with Hecla Mining Company ("Hecla") pursuant to which a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hecla acquired 17,250,000 common shares of the Company, representing 19.8% of the outstanding shares, at a price of \$0.15 per share for total gross proceeds to the Company of \$2,587,500. The strategic partnership with Hecla allows for Hecla a right to nominate one person to the Company's board of directors, the right to nominate one person to the Company's technical committee and a pre-emptive right to participate in any future

- proposed equity offering of the Company in order to maintain its pro rata interest at 19.8%.
- On June 14, 2013, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement financing, consisting of 1,780,000 shares at a price of 16 cents per share for total gross proceeds of \$284,800. Finders' fees of \$23,931 were paid to agents.
 - On June 27, 2013, Hecla exercised its pre-emptive right to maintain its 19.8% pro rata interest in the Company, acquiring a further 1,700,000 shares at a price of 18 cents per share and 450,000 shares at a price of 16 cents per share, for total gross proceeds to the Company of \$378,000.
 - On July 19, 2013, the Company entered into an exploration agreement with the Taku River Tlingit First Nation ("TRTFN"), under which TRTFN will consent to exploration activities and support the development of the Thorn project, in exchange for the Company paying an annual community contribution of 1.25% based on the Company's annual exploration budget, reviewing annual work planning with TRTFN prior to each ensuing season, as well as providing opportunities for local employment, training and contracting related to the project.
 - On August 11, 2013, 14,641,940 warrants with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.25 expired unexercised.
 - On October 11, 2013, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement financing, consisting of 12,533,106 units at a price of 10 cents per unit, 1,460,000 flow-through shares at a price of 10 cents per flow-through share, and 360,730 common shares at a price of 7.5 cents per share for total gross proceeds of \$1,426,365. Each unit consisted of one common share and one transferable common share purchase warrant exercisable at a price of 15 cents per share for a period of 24 months from the closing date. Mr. Rob McEwen subscribed for 10,000,000 units (\$1,000,000) of the total offering amount. The 360,730 common shares at 7.5 cents per share were issued to Hecla under its pre-emptive right to maintain its 19.8% pro rata interest in the Company. In connection with the financing, the Company paid finders' fees of \$70,000 cash and issued 700,000 non-transferable warrants to agents.

Results of Operations

For the year ended September 30, 2013 the Company had a net loss of \$3,393,662 or \$0.04 per share compared to a net loss of \$2,648,800 or \$0.05 per share for the year ended September 30, 2012. The following discussion explains the variations in the key components of these numbers. For details on the results of work on, and other activities in connection with, the Company's exploration and evaluation assets during the current period, see "Exploration Highlights".

Year ended September 30, 2013 compared with year ended September 30, 2012

During the year ended September 30, 2013, the Company incurred a loss of \$3,393,662 (2012 - \$2,648,800), due primarily to the following:

- Geological exploration costs were \$2,227,146 in the year ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$1,562,673 in the comparative period. This is higher in the current year as the Company's 2013 phase one exploration program at its Thorn project was larger in

Brixton Metals Corporation

Management Discussion and Analysis

For the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and containing information up to December 10, 2013

scale in comparison to the 2012 phase one exploration program (28 drill holes compared to 13 drill holes, respectively).

- Salaries and employee benefits increased from \$140,937 in 2012 to \$279,654 for the current year due to the salaries being allocated to geological exploration costs during the prior year as almost all salaried employees were focused on project related work.
- Professional services increased from \$119,193 in 2012 to \$192,553 for the current year due to increases in legal activity and property negotiations associated with the negotiations of the Thorn acquisition agreement and Hecla strategic alliance.
- Share-based payments increased from \$184,005 in 2012 to \$404,433 due to the vesting of 3,735,000 stock options during the year compared to 2,572,500 in the prior year. Included in geological expense is also \$106,550 in share-based payments to salaried employees performing project related work. The Company granted a total of 4,700,000 stock options in 2013, compared to 1,970,000 in 2012.
- Investor relations increased from \$87,131 in 2012 to \$92,492 due to promotional activity and conference attendance during the year.

Three months ended September 30, 2013 compared with three months ended September 30, 2012

The following analysis discusses the variations in the Company's quarterly results but, as with most junior mineral exploration companies, the results of operations (including net losses) are not the main factor in establishing the financial health of the Company. Of additional significance are the exploration and evaluation assets in which the Company has, or may earn an interest, its working capital and how many shares it has outstanding. The variations seen over the quarters are primarily a result of the level of activity of the Company's ongoing property evaluation program and the timing and results of the Company's exploration activities on its then current properties. There are no general trends regarding the Company's quarterly results, and the Company's business of mineral exploration is currently seasonal, as it can only work on the Thorn property on a strict summer/fall basis. Quarterly results can vary significantly depending on whether the Company has abandoned any properties or granted any stock options and these are the factors that account for material variations in the Company's quarterly net losses, none of which are predictable. The write-off of exploration and evaluation assets can have a material effect on quarterly results as and when they occur, as it did in the quarter ended December 31, 2011 with the write-off of Alaskan assets. The other major factor which can cause a material variation in net loss on a quarterly basis is the grant of stock options due to the resulting stock-based compensation charges which can be significant when they arise. General operating costs other than the specific items noted above tend to be quite similar from period to period.

During the three month period ended September 30, 2013, the Company incurred a loss of \$1,243,600 (2012 - \$515,863) due to the following:

- Geological exploration costs were \$1,103,929 in the three months ended September 30, 2013, comparable to \$351,123 in the prior period. This is higher in the current period as the Company's 2013 phase one exploration program at its Thorn project was larger in scale in comparison to the 2012 phase one exploration program (28 drill holes compared to 13 drill holes, respectively).

Brixton Metals Corporation**Management Discussion and Analysis***For the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and containing information up to December 10, 2013*

- Share-based payments increased to \$35,377 compared to \$49,179 in the prior period due to the vesting of a higher number of stock options in the current period. Included in geological expense is also \$106,550 in share-based payments to salaried employees performing project related work.
- Salaries and employee benefits increased from \$2,034 in 2012 to \$26,308 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 due to the salaries being allocated to geological exploration costs during the prior period as almost all salaried employees were focused on project related work.
- Investor relations decreased from \$15,765 in 2012 to \$16,172 due to promotional activity and conference attendance during the quarter.

Summary of Quarterly Results

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2013	Quarter Ended June 30, 2013	Quarter Ended March 31, 2013	Quarter Ended December 31, 2012
Loss for period	\$1,243,600	\$872,582	\$487,749	\$789,731
Loss per Share (Basic and Diluted)	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01
Total Assets	\$4,560,919	\$6,005,946	\$5,816,925	\$2,651,249
Total Long-term Liabilities	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Number of shares outstanding	97,918,594	97,918,594	93,988,594	69,738,594
Cash Dividends Declared	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2012	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	Quarter Ended March 31, 2012	Quarter Ended December 31, 2011
Loss for period	\$515,863	\$283,118	\$378,821	\$1,470,798
Loss per Share (Basic and Diluted)	\$0.005	\$0.005	\$0.01	\$0.03
Total Assets	\$2,328,637	\$1,403,408	\$725,410	\$920,309
Total Long-term Liabilities	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Number of shares outstanding	63,009,094	52,510,474	45,586,415	44,785,015
Cash Dividends Declared	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Mineral property costs

The tables below set out the quarterly resource property costs, both acquisition and exploration, incurred for the past eight quarters (does not include general exploration costs):

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2013	Quarter Ended June 30, 2013	Quarter Ended March 31, 2013	Quarter Ended December 31, 2012
Thorn - BC	\$1,103,929	\$470,230	\$2,913,466	\$567,616
Total	\$1,103,929	\$470,230	\$2,913,466	\$567,616

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2012	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	Quarter Ended March 31, 2012	Quarter Ended December 31, 2011
Thorn - BC	\$338,577	\$102,866	\$156,239	\$965,345
Kahiltna - AK	Nil	Nil	Nil	450
Total	\$338,577	\$102,866	\$156,239	\$965,795

Liquidity and Capital Resources

To date the Company has financed its operations through the sale of its common shares. As at September 30, 2013 the company has \$1,489,954 in current assets and \$50,395 in current liabilities. The receivable balance is composed of amounts receivable the Government of Canada and Government of British Columbia.

The Company has no source of revenue, income or cash flow. It is wholly dependent upon raising funds through the sale of its Common Shares to finance its business operations. Over the next 12 months the Company expects it will require additional capital to finance its property exploration and cover general and administration costs.

The Company may also seek to raise additional funds through public or private equity funding, bank debt financing or from other sources to support ongoing property development. There can be no assurances that this capital will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all.

Use of Proceeds

Reconciliation of Use of Proceeds from Private Placements in 2012 and 2013

The Company has completed the following private placements:

- In April 2012, the Company raised \$1.0 million through the sale of securities of the Company.
- On September 26, 2012 the Company raised an additional \$1.4 million.
- On December 21, 2012, the Company raised an additional \$1.3 million.

Brixton Metals Corporation

Management Discussion and Analysis

For the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and containing information up to December 10, 2013

- On February 26, 2013, the Company raised an additional \$2.6 million. On June 14, 2013, the Company raised an additional \$261,000.
- On June 27, 2013, the Company raised an additional \$378,000.
- On October 11, 2013, the Company raised an additional \$1.4 million.

The following table sets out a comparison of how the Company used the proceeds following the closing date, an explanation of the variances and the impact of the variance on the ability of the Company to achieve its business objectives and milestones.

Intended Use of Proceeds	Actual Use of Proceeds
To advance the Company's properties and for general and administrative purposes.	As at September 30, 2013 the Company had spent approximately \$5.1M on its Thorn property and dropped its Alaskan property. The majority of the funds raised were used for drilling on the Thorn property with some additional amounts reserved for G&A going forward. The funds raised in October 2013 will be used to fund the Company's phase two drill program on the Thorn property and general working capital.
Explanation of variances and the impact of variances on the ability of the Company to achieve its business objectives and milestones	The Company is under budget and has completed its 2013 phase one drill program on the Thorn property. The funds raised in October 2013 will be used to fund the Company's phase two drill program on the Thorn property and general working capital.

Mineral Property Overview

Thorn Property, British Columbia

The primary focuses at the Thorn project are high-grade silver-gold-lead-zinc-bearing diatreme-breccia zones and high-grade gold-silver-copper veins. Secondary targets include porphyry, skarn, replacement, and bulk tonnage gold targets. The Thorn project covers a Jurassic to Cretaceous volcanoplutonic complex that shares many similarities with other high sulphidation deposits around the world such as Lepanto-FSE in the Philippines, Penasquito in Mexico and El Indio in Chile. The 27,000-hectare Thorn Project is located in the Sutlahine River area of Northwestern British Columbia, Canada, approximately 95 km ENE from Juneau, AK.

During Phase One 2013 exploration which was conducted from June 3rd to August 2nd Brixton completed 28 drill holes for a total of 4,617 metres of NQ sized core diamond drilling, collected 1,264 soil samples, 7 rock grab samples and undertook structural geological mapping. Drilling was focused on the Oban diatreme-breccia zone. The soil sampling was conducted in the Oban-Camp Creek, Outlaw, Amarillo and the West La Jaune areas. The structural geology work covered an area of 8 km x 3 km within the southern portion of the property.

Brixton also completed 57 days' worth of reclamation work which included: camp clean-up at

three old sites, removal of empty fuel drums, metal and garbage, tear down of old drill/helicopter pads, burning of old wood debris and the relocation of old drill core to the main Thorn camp. Core samples were submitted to ALS Minerals for analysis and are summarized below.

2013 Drill Results Highlights (phase one)

Hole ID	From	To	Interval	Gold	Silver	Copper	Lead	Zinc	AuEq	AgEq
	(metre)	(metre)	(metre)	g/t	g/t	%	%	%	g/t	g/t
THN13-88	6.50	35.00	28.50	0.13	22.54	0.01	0.24	0.53	0.94	52.18
including	9.00	21.00	12.00	0.23	38.23	0.01	0.42	0.66	1.49	82.48
and	50.00	67.00	17.00	0.27	11.30	0.01	0.09	0.38	0.72	40.12
including	60.00	61.00	1.00	2.91	57.70	0.03	0.30	0.74	4.53	251.31
THN13-89	38.20	151.70	113.50	0.14	58.65	0.01	0.13	0.37	1.47	81.30
including	49.00	74.76	25.76	0.30	189.27	0.02	0.32	0.38	4.10	227.19
including	49.00	53.00	4.00	0.37	415.50	0.02	1.12	0.47	8.71	482.85
including	49.00	50.00	1.00	0.41	1060.00	0.02	2.78	0.17	21.05	1167.46
THN13-90	5.40	167.03	161.63	0.38	62.15	0.02	0.29	0.79	2.09	115.85
including	28.50	31.50	3.00	0.59	363.67	0.02	1.21	0.48	8.04	446.11
including	27.50	43.50	16.00	0.36	163.28	0.02	0.37	0.27	3.66	203.20
including	78.00	105.68	27.68	0.87	108.70	0.04	0.47	2.16	4.23	234.47
including	95.00	99.00	4.00	1.37	129.00	0.04	1.12	5.85	7.29	404.75

- Near surface silver rich mineralization at the Oban zone was extended by 70 metres in a northerly direction from hole 60. The Oban zone remains open at depth and strike in several directions. The 2013 drilling has confirmed that the high grade mineralization is not restricted to the Oban breccia and is also hosted by the Thorn stock.
- A new sphalerite-galena zone was discovered around THN13-93 and THN13-103 which are about 30 metres from each other. This zone is located 90 metres north of hole 60. THN13-103 intersected 4.28 metres 269.89 AgEq. The extent of this zone remains to be tested.
- A new pyrite-tetrahedrite zone discovered in THN13-95 at about 130 metres depth returned 5.00 metres of 227 g/t AgEq. This zone is located 200 metres southwest of hole 60. This new zone includes hole THN13-112 which returned 3.60 metres of 317.36 g/t AgEq within 5.25 metres of 225.27 g/t AgEq. The extent of this zone remains to be tested.
- Structural geology conducted by SRK Consultants Canada Inc., identified a consistent structural network of faults with the 8 km x 3 km area. Areas with a high degree of cross faulting have shown to be of importance for mineralization. The preliminary interpretation suggests that the master faults trend north-south to north northeast with subordinate northeast-southwest, and east-west faults. It appears that the maximum and favorable dilation occurs in the northwest-southeast direction as evidenced by veining in several rock outcrop locations. Based on this structural work a high number of targets have been identified for further testing.

Brixton Metals Corporation

Management Discussion and Analysis

For the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and containing information up to December 10, 2013

During Phase Two Exploration which was conducted from October 9th to November 8th Brixton completed 1,461 metres of NQ core drilling and collected 122 soils, 6 rocks and 14 specific gravity samples. Results are pending.

Quality Control and Quality Assurance protocols were designed by Brixton Metals and the analysis' were conducted independently by GeoSpark Consulting Inc. Quality control samples were inserted into the sample stream at approximate intervals of one standard, one blank and one duplicate per 20 core samples. They consisted of a blank, a standard and duplicate material inserted into the sample stream at varying intervals. The blank samples were composed of landscape lava rock. The standard material included CDN-ME-1101, CDN-ME-18, CDN-FCM-6, CDN-ME-1206 and CDN-ME-1305 from CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd. of Langley, British Columbia.

Silver equivalent (AgEq) values were calculated using \$1,088 per ounce of gold, \$19.62 per ounce of silver, \$3.20 per pound for copper \$0.80 per pound of lead, \$0.80 per pound of zinc, all with 100% metal recoveries assumed.

$$\text{AgEq} = \text{Ag g/t} + (\text{Au g/t} \times 34.98/0.63) + (\text{Pb\%} \times 17.64/0.63) + (\text{Zn\%} \times 17.64/0.63) + (\text{Cu\%} \times 70.55/0.63)$$

Mr. Sorin Posescu, P.Geo., VP Geology, is a Qualified Person as defined under National Instrument 43-101 standards and has reviewed and approved this summary of results.

Significant Acquisitions and Significant Dispositions

During the year ended September 30, 2012, all costs relating to the Alaskan property were written off.

On February 26, 2013, the Company acquired a 100% interest in the Thorn property for consideration of \$1.5 million cash and the issuance of 7 million common shares of Brixton to Kiska.Metals Corporation and is subject to underlying royalties.

Risk Factors

The Company is in the business of acquiring, exploring and, if warranted, developing and exploiting natural resource properties, currently in British Columbia. Due to the nature of the Company's proposed business and the present stage of exploration of its mineral properties (which are primarily early stage exploration properties with no known resources or reserves), the following risk factors, among others, will apply:

Resource Exploration and Development is Generally a Speculative Business: Resource exploration and development is a speculative business and involves a high degree of risk, including, among other things, unprofitable efforts resulting not only from the failure to discover mineral deposits but from finding mineral deposits which, though present, are insufficient in size to return a profit from production. The marketability of natural resources that may be acquired or discovered by the Company will be affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company. These factors include market fluctuations, the proximity and capacity of natural resource markets, government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital. **There is no known**

resource, and there are no known reserves, on any of the Company's properties. The vast majority of exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable deposits of ore. Substantial expenditures are required to establish ore reserves through drilling and metallurgical and other testing techniques, determine metal content and metallurgical recovery processes to extract metal from the ore, and construct, renovate or expand mining and processing facilities. No assurance can be given that any level of recovery of ore reserves will be realized or that any identified mineral deposit, even if it is established to contain an estimated resource, will ever qualify as a commercial mineable ore body which can be legally and economically exploited. The great majority of exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable deposits of ore.

Fluctuation of Metal Prices: Even if commercial quantities of mineral deposits are discovered by the Company, there is no guarantee that a profitable market will exist for the sale of the metals produced. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. The prices of various metals have experienced significant movement over short periods of time, and are affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates and global or regional consumption patterns, speculative activities and increased production due to improved mining and production methods. The supply of and demand for metals are affected by various factors, including political events, economic conditions and production costs in major producing regions. There can be no assurance that the price of any commodities will be such that any of the properties in which the Company has, or has the right to acquire, an interest may be mined at a profit.

Recent market events and conditions: From 2007 into 2010, the U.S. credit markets have experienced serious disruption due to a deterioration in residential property values, defaults and delinquencies in the residential mortgage market (particularly, sub-prime and non-prime mortgages) and a decline in the credit quality of mortgage backed securities. These problems have led to a slow-down in residential housing market transactions, declining housing prices, delinquencies in non-mortgage consumer credit and a general decline in consumer confidence. These conditions caused a loss of confidence in the broader U.S. and global credit and financial markets and resulting in the collapse of, and government intervention in, major banks, financial institutions and insurers and creating a climate of greater volatility, less liquidity, widening of credit spreads, a lack of price transparency, increased credit losses and tighter credit conditions. Notwithstanding various actions by the U.S. and foreign governments, concerns about the general condition of the capital markets, financial instruments, banks, investment banks, insurers and other financial institutions caused the broader credit markets to further deteriorate and stock markets to decline substantially. In addition, general economic indicators have deteriorated, including declining consumer sentiment, increased unemployment and declining economic growth and uncertainty about corporate earnings.

While these general conditions appear to have improved slightly in 2010-2013, unprecedented disruptions in the credit and financial markets have had a significant material adverse impact on a number of financial institutions and have limited access to capital and credit for many companies. These disruptions could, among other things, make it more difficult for the

Company to obtain, or increase its cost of obtaining, capital and financing for its operations. The Company's access to additional capital may not be available on terms acceptable to it or at all.

General economic conditions: The 2008 global financial market meltdown has had a lasting impact on the global economy. Many industries, including the gold and base metal mining industry, are impacted by these market conditions. Some of the key impacts of the current financial market turmoil include contraction in credit markets resulting in a widening of credit risk, devaluations and high volatility in global equity, commodity, foreign exchange and precious metal markets, and a lack of market liquidity. A continued or worsened slowdown in the financial markets or other economic conditions, including but not limited to, consumer spending, employment rates, business conditions, inflation, fuel and energy costs, consumer debt levels, lack of available credit, the state of the financial markets, interest rates, and tax rates may adversely affect the Company's growth and profitability. Specifically:

- the global credit/liquidity crisis could impact the cost and availability of financing and the Company's overall liquidity
- the volatility of precious and base metal prices may impact the Company's potential future revenues, profits and cash flow
- volatile energy prices, commodity and consumables prices and currency exchange rates impact potential production costs
- the devaluation and volatility of global stock markets impacts the valuation of the Company's common shares, which may impact the Company's ability to raise funds through the issuance of equity securities

These factors could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Share Price Volatility: During the past year, worldwide securities markets, particularly those in the United States and Canada, have experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market price of securities of many companies, particularly those considered exploration or development stage companies, have experienced unprecedented declines in price which have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. Most significantly, the share prices of junior natural resource companies have experienced an unprecedented decline in value and there has been a significant decline in the number of buyers willing to purchase such securities. In addition, significantly higher redemptions by holders of mutual funds has forced many of such funds (including those holding the Company's securities) to sell such securities at any price. **As a consequence, despite the Company's past success in securing significant equity financing, market forces may render it difficult or impossible for the Company to secure places to purchase new share issues at a price which will not lead to severe dilution to existing shareholders, or at all.** Therefore, there can be no assurance that significant fluctuations in the trading price of the Company's common shares will not occur, or that such fluctuations will not materially adversely impact on the Company's ability to raise equity funding without significant dilution to its existing shareholders, or at all.

Financing Risks: The Company has limited financial resources, has no source of operating cash flow and has no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further exploration and development of its projects or to fulfill its obligations under any applicable agreements. Although the Company has been successful in the past in obtaining financing through the sale of equity securities, there can be no assurance that it will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of such financing will be favorable. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of its projects with the possible loss of such properties.

Insufficient Financial Resources: The Company does not presently have sufficient financial resources to undertake by itself the acquisition, exploration and development of all of its planned acquisition, exploration and development programs. Future property acquisitions and the development of the Company's properties will therefore depend upon the Company's ability to obtain financing through the joint venturing of projects, private placement financing, public financing, short or long term borrowings or other means. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining the required financing. Failure to raise the required funds could result in the Company losing, or being required to dispose of, its interest in its properties.

Dilution to the Company's existing shareholders: The Company will require additional equity financing to be raised in the future. The Company may issue securities at less than favorable terms to raise sufficient capital to fund its business plan. Any transaction involving the issuance of equity securities or securities convertible into common shares would result in dilution, possibly substantial, to present and prospective holders of common shares.

Increased costs: Management anticipates that costs at the Company's projects will frequently be subject to variation from one year to the next due to a number of factors, such as the results of ongoing exploration activities (positive or negative), changes in the nature of mineralization encountered, and revisions to exploration programs, if any, in response to the foregoing. In addition, exploration program costs are affected by the price of commodities such as fuel, rubber and electricity and the availability (or otherwise) of consultants and drilling contractors. Increases in the prices of such commodities or a scarcity of consultants or drilling contractors could render the costs of exploration programs to increase significantly over those budgeted. A material increase in costs for any significant exploration programs could have a significant effect on the Company's operating funds and ability to continue its planned exploration programs.

Mining Industry is Intensely Competitive: The Company's business of the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties is intensely competitive. The Company may be at a competitive disadvantage in acquiring additional mining properties because it must compete with other individuals and companies, many of which have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than the Company. Increased competition could adversely affect the Company's ability to attract necessary capital funding or acquire suitable producing properties or prospects for mineral exploration in the future.

Permits and Licenses: The operations of the Company will require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to carry out exploration,

development and mining operations at its projects, on reasonable terms or at all. Delays or a failure to obtain such licenses and permits, or a failure to comply with the terms of any such licenses and permits that the Company does obtain could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Government Regulation: Any exploration, development or mining operations carried on by the Company, will be subject to government legislation, policies and controls relating to prospecting, development, production, environmental protection, mining taxes and labour standards. In addition, the profitability of any mining prospect is affected by the market for precious and/or base metals which is influenced by many factors including changing production costs, the supply and demand for metals, the rate of inflation, the inventory of metal producing corporations, the political environment and changes in international investment patterns.

Environmental Restrictions: The activities of the Company are subject to environmental regulations promulgated by government agencies in different countries from time to time. Environmental legislation generally provides for restrictions and prohibitions on spills, releases or emissions into the air, discharges into water, management of waste, management of hazardous substances, protection of natural resources, antiquities and endangered species and reclamation of lands disturbed by mining operations. Certain types of operations require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which means stricter standards, and enforcement. Fines and penalties for non-compliance are more stringent. Environmental assessments of proposed projects carry a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and directors, officers and employees. The cost of compliance with changes in governmental regulations has a potential to reduce the profitability of operations.

Foreign Countries and Political Risk: All of the mineral properties held by the Company are located in Canada, where mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by changes in government regulations such as tax laws, business laws, environmental laws and mining laws, affecting the Company's business in that country. Any changes in regulations or shifts in political conditions are beyond the control of the Company and may adversely affect its business, or if significant enough, may make it impossible to continue to operate in the country. Operations may be affected in varying degrees by government regulations with respect to restrictions on production, price controls, foreign exchange restrictions, export controls, income taxes, expropriation of property, environmental legislation and mine safety.

Dependence Upon Others and Key Personnel: The success of the Company's operations will depend upon numerous factors, many of which are beyond the Company's control, including (i) the ability to design and carry out appropriate exploration programs on its mineral properties; (ii) the ability to produce minerals from any mineral deposits that may be located; (iii) the ability to attract and retain additional key personnel in exploration, marketing, mine development and finance; and (iv) the ability and the operating resources to develop and maintain the properties held by the Company. These and other factors will require the use of outside suppliers as well as the talents and efforts of the Company and its consultants and employees. There can be no assurance of success with any or all of these factors on which the Company's operations will

depend, or that the Company will be successful in finding and retaining the necessary employees, personnel and/or consultants in order to be able to successfully carry out such activities.

Surface Rights and Access: Although the Company acquires the rights to some or all of the minerals in the ground subject to the tenures that it acquires, or has a right to acquire, in most cases it does not thereby acquire any rights to, or ownership of, the surface to the areas covered by its mineral tenures. In such cases, applicable mining laws usually provide for rights of access to the surface for the purpose of carrying on mining activities, however, the enforcement of such rights through the applicable courts can be costly and time consuming. In areas where there are no existing surface rights holders, this does not usually cause a problem, as there are no impediments to surface access. However, in areas where there are local populations or land owners, it is necessary, as a practical matter, to negotiate surface access. There can be no guarantee that, despite having the right at law to access the surface and carry on exploration and mining activities, the Company will be able to negotiate a satisfactory agreement with any such existing landowners/occupiers for such access, and therefore it may be unable to carry out mining activities. In addition, in circumstances where such access is denied, or no agreement can be reached, the Company may need to rely on the assistance of local officials or the courts in such jurisdiction. The Company has not, to date, experienced any problems in gaining access to any of its properties.

Title Matters: Although the Company has taken steps to verify the title to the mineral properties in which it has or has a right to acquire an interest in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee title (whether of the Company or of any underlying vendor(s) from whom the Company may be acquiring its interest). Title to mineral properties may be subject to unregistered prior agreements or transfers, and may also be affected by undetected defects or the rights of indigenous peoples. The Company has investigated title to all of its mineral properties and, to the best of its knowledge, title to all of its properties for which titles have been issued are in good standing.

Exploration and Mining Risks: Fires, power outages, labour disruptions, flooding, explosions, cave-ins, landslides and the inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment or labour are other risks involved in the operation of mines and the conduct of exploration programs. Substantial expenditures are required to establish reserves through drilling, to develop metallurgical processes, to develop the mining and processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mining. Although substantial benefits may be derived from the discovery of a major mineralized deposit, no assurance can be given that minerals will be discovered in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations or that funds required for development can be obtained on a timely basis. The economics of developing mineral properties is affected by many factors including the cost of operations, variations of the grade of ore mined, fluctuations in the price of gold or other minerals produced, costs of processing equipment and other factors such as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. In addition, the grade of mineralization ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and such differences could be material. Short term factors, such as the need for orderly development of ore bodies or the processing of new or different grades, may have an adverse effect on mining operations and on the results of operations. There can be no assurance that minerals recovered

in small scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale operations. Material changes in geological resources, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of projects.

Regulatory Requirements: The activities of the Company are subject to extensive regulations governing various matters, including environmental protection, management and use of toxic substances and explosives, management of natural resources, exploration, development of mines, production and post-closure reclamation, exports, price controls, taxation, regulations concerning business dealings with indigenous peoples, labour standards on occupational health and safety, including mine safety, and historic and cultural preservation. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in civil or criminal fines or penalties, enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions, any of which could result in the Company incurring significant expenditures. The Company may also be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of a breach of such laws, regulations or permitting requirements. It is also possible that future laws and regulations, or more stringent enforcement of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities, could cause additional expense, capital expenditures, restrictions on or suspension of the Company's operations and delays in the exploration and development of the Company's properties.

Limited Experience with Development-Stage Mining Operations: The Company has very limited experience in placing mineral resource properties into production, and its ability to do so will be dependent upon using the services of appropriately experienced personnel or entering into agreements with other major resource companies that can provide such expertise. There can be no assurance that the Company will have available to it the necessary expertise when and if it places its resource properties into production.

Uncertainty of Resource Estimates/Reserves: Unless otherwise indicated, mineralization figures presented in the Company's filings with securities regulatory authorities, press releases and other public statements that may be made from time to time are based upon estimates made by Company personnel and independent geologists. These estimates are imprecise and depend upon geological interpretation and statistical inferences drawn from drilling and sampling analysis, which may prove to be unreliable. There can be no assurance that:

- these estimates will be accurate;
- reserves, resource or other mineralization figures will be accurate; or
- this mineralization could be mined or processed profitably.

Because the Company has not commenced production at any of its properties, and has not defined or delineated any proven or probable reserves on any of its properties, mineralization estimates for the Company's properties may require adjustments or downward revisions based upon further exploration or development work or actual production experience. In addition, the grade of ore ultimately mined, if any, may differ from that indicated by drilling results. There can be no assurance that minerals recovered in small-scale tests will be duplicated in large-scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale. The resource estimates contained in the Company's filings with securities regulatory authorities, press releases and other public

statements that may be made from time to time have been determined and valued based on assumed future prices, cut-off grades and operating costs that may prove to be inaccurate. Extended declines in market prices for gold, silver, copper, iron or other metals may render portions of the Company's mineralization uneconomic and result in reduced reported mineralization. Any material reductions in estimates of mineralization, or of the Company's ability to extract this mineralization, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition. **The Company has not established the presence of any resources or any proven or probable reserves at any of its mineral properties. There can be no assurance that subsequent testing or future studies will establish any resources or proven or probable reserves at the Company's properties. The failure to establish proven or probable reserves could restrict the Company's ability to successfully implement its strategies for long-term growth.**

No Assurance of Profitability: The Company has no history of earnings and, due to the nature of its business there can be no assurance that the Company will ever be profitable. The Company has not paid dividends on its shares since incorporation and does not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future. The only present source of funds available to the Company is from the sale of its common shares or, possibly, from the sale or optioning of a portion of its interest in its mineral properties. Even if the results of exploration are encouraging, the Company may not have sufficient funds to conduct the further exploration that may be necessary to determine whether or not a commercially mineable deposit exists. While the Company may generate additional working capital through further equity offerings or through the sale or possible syndication of its properties, there can be no assurance that any such funds will be available on favorable terms, or at all. At present, it is impossible to determine what amounts of additional funds, if any, may be required. Failure to raise such additional capital could put the continued viability of the Company at risk.

Uninsured or Uninsurable Risks: Exploration, development and mining operations involve various hazards, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, metallurgical and other processing problems, unusual or unexpected rock formations, structural cave-ins or slides, flooding, fires, metal losses and periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions. These risks could result in damage to or destruction of mineral properties, facilities or other property, personal injury, environmental damage, delays in operations, increased cost of operations, monetary losses and possible legal liability. The Company may not be able to obtain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums or at all. The Company may elect not to insure where premium costs are disproportionate to the Company's perception of the relevant risks. The payment of such insurance premiums and of such liabilities would reduce the funds available for exploration and production activities.

The Company may be a "passive foreign investment company" under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, which may result in material adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to investors in the Company's common shares that are U.S. taxpayers: Investors in the Company's common shares that are U.S. taxpayers should be aware that the Company expects it will in the current year be, a "passive foreign investment company" under Section 1297(a) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (a "PFIC"). If the Company is or becomes a PFIC, generally any gain recognized on the sale of the Company's common shares and any

“excess distributions” (as specifically defined) paid on such common shares must be rateably allocated to each day in a U.S. taxpayer’s holding period for the common shares. The amount of any such gain or excess distribution allocated to prior years of such U.S. taxpayer’s holding period for the common shares generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at the highest tax applicable to ordinary income in each such prior year, and the U.S. taxpayer will be required to pay interest on the resulting tax liability for each such prior year, calculated as if such tax liability had been due in each such prior year.

Alternatively, a U.S. taxpayer that makes a “qualified electing fund” (a “QEF”) election with respect to the Company generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on such U.S. taxpayer’s pro rata share of the Company’s “net capital gain” and “ordinary earnings” (as specifically defined and calculated under U.S. federal income tax rules), regardless of whether such amounts are actually distributed by the Company. U.S. taxpayers should be aware, however, that there can be no assurance that the Company will satisfy record keeping requirements under the QEF rules or that the Company will supply U.S. taxpayers with required information under the QEF rules, in event that the Company is a PFIC and a U.S. taxpayer wishes to make a QEF election. As a second alternative, a U.S. taxpayer may make a “mark-to-market election” if the Company is a PFIC and the Company’s common shares are “marketable stock” (as specifically defined). A U.S. taxpayer that makes a mark-to-market election generally will include in gross income, for each taxable year in which the Company is a PFIC, an amount equal to the excess, if any, of (a) the fair market value of the common shares as of the close of such taxable year over (b) such U.S. taxpayer’s adjusted tax basis in the common shares.

Due to the extreme complexity of the PFIC rules and the potentially materially adverse consequence to a shareholder that is a U.S. taxpayer of the Company being a PFIC, it is critical that each shareholder that is a U.S. taxpayer consult with that shareholder’s U.S. tax adviser before undertaking any transactions in the Company’s common shares.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Corporation does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Proposed Transactions

There are no proposed transactions as at September 30, 2013 and to the date of this MDA.

Additional Disclosure for Venture Issuers without Significant Revenue

Additional disclosure concerning the Company’s general and administrative expenses and resource property costs is provided in the Company’s Statement of Operations and Deficit and Schedule of Resource Property Expenditures contained in its consolidated financial statements for the period ended September 30, 2013 that is available on the Company’s website at www.brixtonmetals.com or on its SEDAR Page Site accessed through www.sedar.com.

Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data

Brixton's authorized capital is unlimited common shares without par value and unlimited preferred shares without par value. As at January __, 2014, the following common shares are outstanding:

	# of Shares	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
Issued and Outstanding Common Shares	112,272,430		
Stock Options	900,000	0.25	December 9, 2015
	1,220,000	0.11	September 6, 2021
	600,000	0.165	January 24, 2014
	70,000	0.165	January 24, 2022
	1,300,000	0.11	June 1, 2022
	200,000	0.175	December 4, 2022
	4,500,000	0.17	March 20, 2023
Warrants	2,682,500	0.24	April 26, 2014
	8,277,219	0.23	September 26, 2014
	13,233,106	0.15	October 11, 2015
Fully Diluted at December 10, 2013	145,255,255		

Transactions with Related Parties

The Company has entered into certain transactions with related parties during the year ended September 30, 2013. All transactions with related parties have occurred in the normal course of operations and are measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed upon by the related parties.

A description of these related party transactions is as follows:

Name of Director/Officer	Position	Category	Amount Paid/Accrued
Gary Thompson	Director, President & CEO	Salary	\$185,000
Cale J. Moodie	Director, CFO	Consulting Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$82,800
Jim Defer	Director	Director Fees	\$12,000
George Salamis	Director	Director Fees ⁽²⁾	\$12,000
Sorin Posescu	VP Geology	Salary ⁽³⁾	\$110,000
Carrie Sikman	VP Investor Relations	Salary ⁽⁴⁾	\$43,333

1. Amounts paid to Spartan Pacific Financial Ltd., a company controlled by Mr. Moodie, for accounting related services.
2. Consulting fees for services were paid to Spartan Consulting Inc., a company controlled by Mr. Salamis, for director fees.
3. Mr. Posescu was appointed on November 2, 2012 at a salary of \$120,000 per annum.
4. Ms. Sikman was appointed on February 1, 2013 at a salary of \$65,000 per annum.

Share based payments to key management personnel amount to \$494,098 (2012 - \$136,877).

Contractual Obligations

The Corporation presently has no contractual obligations other than an Escrow Agreement, property lease for office space and payments to keep properties in good standing as outlined in note 10 of the consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Policies and Estimates

Significant judgments are used in the Company's assessment of its ability to continue as a going concern which is described in note 1 of the consolidated financial statements. Significant accounting estimates are used in the determination of fair value and value in use for purposes of the recoverability of the carrying value of mineral properties, determination of reclamation obligations, valuation of share-based payments, and the valuation of deferred income taxes. These estimates involve considerable judgment and are, or could be, affected by significant factors that are out of the Company's control. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Impairment

At the end of each reporting period the carrying amounts of the Company's long lived assets, including mineral property interests, are reviewed to determine whether there is any indication that those assets are impaired. If any such indication exists, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of the impairment, if any. The recoverable amount is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. Fair value is determined as the amount that would be obtained from the sale of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset.

If the recoverable amount of an asset is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount and the impairment loss is recognized in the profit or loss for the period. For an asset that does not generate largely independent cash inflows, the recoverable amount is determined for the cash generating unit to which the asset belongs. Where an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset (or cash generating unit) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, but to an amount that does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset (or cash-generating unit) in prior years. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognized immediately in profit or loss.

Share-based Payments

The factors affecting share-based payments include estimates of when stock options might be exercised and the stock price volatility. The timing for exercise of options is out of the Company's control and will depend, among other things, upon a variety of factors including the market value of Company shares and financial objectives of the holders of the options. The Company has used historical data to determine volatility in accordance with Black-Scholes modeling, however future volatility is inherently uncertain and the model has its limitations. While these estimates can have a material impact on the share-based payments and hence, results of operations, there is no impact on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

New Standards Not Yet Adopted

Standards and interpretations issued but not yet effective applicable to the Company:

- IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement
- IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements
- IFRS 9, Financial Instruments
- IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company is evaluating the impact that these standards will have on the financial statements.

Disclosure of Management Compensation

In accordance with the requirements of Section 19.5 of TSXV Policy 3.1, the Company provides the following disclosure with respect to the compensation of its directors and officers during the period:

1. During the year ended September 30, 2013, compensation arrangements were made directly with Sorin Posescu and Carrie Sikman for their services as officers of the Company.
2. During the year ended September 30, 2013, directors and officers of the Company were paid (or accrued) the following amounts, directly or indirectly, for their services as directors and officers or in any other capacity by the Company and its subsidiaries:

Name of Director/Officer	Position	Category	Amount Paid/Accrued
Gary Thompson	Director, President & CEO	Salary	\$185,000
Cale J. Moodie	Director, CFO	Consulting Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$82,800
Jim Defer	Director	Director Fees	\$12,000
George Salamis	Director	Director Fees ⁽²⁾	\$12,000
Sorin Posescu	VP Geology	Salary ⁽³⁾	\$110,000
Carrie Sikman	VP Investor Relations	Salary ⁽⁴⁾	\$43,333

1. Amounts paid to Spartan Pacific Financial Ltd., a company controlled by Mr. Moodie, for accounting related services.
2. Consulting fees for services were paid to Spartan Consulting Inc., a company controlled by Mr. Salamis, for director fees.
3. Mr. Posescu was appointed on November 2, 2012 at a salary of \$120,000 per annum.
4. Ms. Sikman was appointed on February 1, 2013 at a salary of \$65,000 per annum.

Recent Developments and Outlook

The Company expects to obtain financing in the future primarily through further equity and/or debt financing. There can be no assurance that the Company will succeed in obtaining additional

financing, now or in the future. Failure to raise additional financing on a timely basis could cause the Company to suspend its operation and eventually to forfeit or sell its interest in its exploration and evaluation assets.

Financial Instruments and Risk Management

IFRS 7, Financial Instruments: Disclosures (“IFRS 7”) establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (supported by little or no market activity).

The Company’s cash and restricted cash are classified as Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying value of Receivables and accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximates their fair values because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

Financial risk factors

The Company’s risk exposures and the impact on the Company’s financial instruments are summarized below:

a) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss associated with a counter party’s inability to fulfill its payment obligations. The Company’s receivables consist of amounts due from a Canadian government agency and cash and restricted cash is held with large and stable Canadian chartered banks.

b) Liquidity risk

The Company’s approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet its liabilities when they come due. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had cash of \$1,292,218 to settle current liabilities of \$50,395. All of the Company’s financial liabilities are subject to normal trade terms.

c) Market risk

Market risk is the risk of loss that may arise from changes in market factors such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and commodity and equity prices.

Interest rate risk

The Company has cash balances and no interest-bearing debt. The Company’s current policy is to invest excess cash in investment-grade short-term deposit

certificates issued by its banking institutions. The Company periodically monitors the investments it makes and is satisfied with the credit ratings of its banks.

Foreign currency risk

The Company is exposed to foreign currency risk on fluctuations related to cash and accounts payable and accrued liabilities that are denominated in United States Dollars. The Company's exposure to foreign currency is detailed in note 13 of the consolidated financial statements.

Price risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity and equity prices. Equity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on the Company's earnings due to movements in individual equity prices or general movements in the level of the stock market. Commodity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on earnings and economic value due to commodity price movements and volatilities. The Company closely monitors commodity prices of gold and other precious and base metals, individual equity movements, and the stock market to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company.

Approval

The Board of Directors of Brixton has approved the disclosure contained in this MD&A. A copy of this MD&A will be provided to anyone who requests it.

Additional Information

Additional information relating to Brixton is on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

HEAD OFFICE

Brixton Metals Corp.
1411 - 409 Granville St.
Vancouver, BC V6C 1T2
Canada

OFFICERS & DIRECTORS

Gary Thompson, P.Geol. P.Geol.
President & CEO, Chairman and Director

Cale Moodie, BSF, CA
Chief Financial Officer and Director

Sorin Posescu, P.Geol.
Senior VP Geology

George Salamis
Director

Jim Defer, CA, CBV
Director

Don Poirier
Director

LISTINGS

TSX Venture Exchange: **BBB**

CAPITALIZATION

(as at December 10, 2013)

Shares Authorized: Unlimited
Shares Issued: 112,272,430

REGISTRAR & TRUST AGENT

TMX Equity Transfer Services
200 University Avenue, Suite 400
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 4H1

AUDITOR

KPMG LLP
777 Dunsmuir Street
Vancouver BC
V7Y 1K3

LEGAL COUNSEL

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP
2600-595 Burrard Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V7X 1L3